

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/035,508	10/22/2001	Timothy J. Boyle	023227.P042	3104
7590 03/18/2004		EXAMINER		
Stephen M. De Klerk			KARLSEN, ERNEST F	
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2829	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/035,508	BOYLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	pply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 November 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-24 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and su	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 1.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•, ,						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the $\infty$ 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1103.	· /	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Claims 13- 24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and/or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 8 and 11.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The step of claim 1, lines 7-10 is questioned. It is stated that the terminals are moved. How is it possible to move the terminals without moving the dies? In claim 1, line 7, what does "the separate dies of each of the substrates " mean? If a wafer having 20 dies on it was cut into quarters each quarter would presumably have 5 dies joined together. Claim 1, line 7 appears to be saying that the dies are separate from the substrate and separate from each other. In the above example dealing with a wafer each quarter of a wafer would be a substrate and dies would not be separate. It is not clear in claim 1, line 7 what is meant by "the separate dies of each of the substrates".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Obigane.

Obigane shows apparatus and method for testing wafers where the wafers are placed in a transfer apparatus 30, the wafers W are serially secured to a test chuck 21, the wafers

Art Unit: 2829

W are moved by the test chuck 21 into a position such that terminals on chips of the wafer are contacted by probes 24a, testing is done by applying signals through the terminals, the contacts are disengaged and the wafer removed from the chuck 21. Column 3, lines 1-10 of Obigane indicate that probes 24a are connected to a test head for the purpose of testing and testing inherently includes relaying of signals. Note that the wafers of Obigane or secured to the chuck 21 by being "vacuum sucked" as set forth in column 2, line 66.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mizumura is cited to show additional apparatus and method similar to that of Obigane.

.Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,508

Art Unit: 2829

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F.

Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

February 5, 2004

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4